

1  
2  
3 Soil Organic Carbon Input from Urban Turfgrasses  
4

5 Yaling Qian\*, Ronald F. Follett, and John M. Kimble  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 Y.L. Qian, Dept. of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins,  
12 CO 80523-1173; R.F. Follett USDA/ARS, Soil-Plant-Nutrient Research Unit, Fort Collins, CO  
13 80522; J.M. Kimble USDA/NRCS retired, 151 East Hill Church Road, Addison, NY 14801.

14 Received on \_\_\_\_\_. \*Corresponding author (Yaling.Qian@colostate.edu).  
15

16 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** The authors gratefully acknowledge the important contributions of  
17 Mr. Edward Buenger and Ms. Elizabeth Pruessner in the Soil-Plant-Nutrient Research Unit of  
18 USDA/ARS, the important contributions of Ms. Sarah Wilhelm in the Dept. of Horticulture and  
19 Landscape Architecture at Colorado State University, the important assistance provided by the  
20 National Soil Survey Laboratory of USDA/NRCS, and field assistance and coordination with  
21 NRCS by Mr. Steve Scheinost, Asst. State Soil Scientist for USDA/NRCS in Nebraska. The  
22 authors are grateful to Mr. Ryan Krings, the superintendent of Arbor Links Golf Course. This  
23 study is partially supported by USDA-ARS funding to address objectives of the GRACEnet  
24 Cross Location Research project, by the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station, and by the  
25 International Turf Producer's Foundation.  
26

27 Abbreviations: soil organic carbon, SOC; soil organic nitrogen, SON; C isotope ratio,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ .  
28

## Abstract

1  
2 Turfgrass is a major vegetation type in the urban and suburban environment.  
3 Management practices such as species selection, irrigation, and mowing may affect carbon (C)  
4 input and storage in these systems. Research was conducted to determine the rate of soil organic  
5 carbon (SOC) changes, soil carbon sequestration, and SOC decomposition of fine fescue  
6 (*Festuca spp.*) (rain fed and irrigated), Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis* L.) (irrigated), and  
7 creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis palustris* Huds.) (irrigated) using carbon isotope techniques.  
8 Aboveground tissues were collected for biomass determination and carbon isotope ratio analysis.  
9 Soil was sampled for determination of root mass, soil bulk density, SOC, soil organic nitrogen,  
10 and C isotope ratio. Our results indicated that four years after establishment, about 17-24% of  
11 SOC at 0-10 cm and 1-13% from 10-20 cm was derived from turfgrass. Irrigated-fine fescue  
12 added the most SOC (3.35 ton C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) to the 0-20 cm soil profile, but also had the highest  
13 rate of SOC decomposition (2.61 ton C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). The corresponding additions and  
14 decomposition rates for non-irrigated fine fescue, Kentucky bluegrass, and creeping bentgrass in  
15 the top 20 cm soil profile were 1.39 and 0.87, 2.05 and 1.73, and 2.28 and 1.50 ton C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>,  
16 respectively. Thus, the irrigated fine fescue added about 140% more SOC than did the non-  
17 irrigated fine fescue, and 55% more than irrigated-Kentucky bluegrass and creeping bentgrass.  
18 Irrigation increased both net organic carbon input to the soil profile and SOC decomposition.  
19 We found that all turfgrasses exhibited significant carbon sequestration (0.32 -0.78 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>)  
20 during the first 4 years after turf establishment. However, the net carbon sequestration rate was  
21 higher for irrigated fine fescue and creeping bentgrass than for Kentucky bluegrass. To evaluate  
22 total carbon balance, additional work is needed to evaluate the total carbon budget and fluxes of  
23 the other greenhouse gases in turfgrass systems.

## Introduction

Carbon sequestration is the process of capturing and storing carbon (C) in organic form in soil organic matter. Experts believe that soil carbon sequestration will reduce the buildup of carbon dioxide (a greenhouse gas) in the atmosphere while improving the nation's soil, air, and water quality and the agricultural economy (Lal and Follett, 2008). Intensive research has been conducted to quantify carbon sequestration in agricultural lands. However, research to quantify carbon sequestration potential in turfgrass systems is very limited. Previously, we (Qian and Follett, 2002) conducted an initial study to assess soil carbon sequestration in golf course fairways and putting greens using historic soil testing data in Colorado and Wyoming. We found that a rapid soil organic carbon accumulation occurred during the first 0-25 years after turfgrass establishment, at average rates approaching 0.9 and 1.0-ton C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. These rates are comparable to those reported for US land that has been placed in the Conservation Reserve Program (Follett et al., 2001). These results suggest that turfgrass is effective in sequestering atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and in improving soil quality. Considering turfgrass acreage is three times larger than that of any irrigated crop, covering more than 16 million ha in the continental United State (Milesi et al., 2005), further research is needed to quantify carbon sequestration of turfgrasses that are under different management regimes.

Management of turfgrasses is highly variable, in part because of the different uses, species, and different nutrient inputs and management levels. Milesi et al. (2005) estimated the potential C flux in turfgrass systems using Biome-BGC ecosystem process model and assuming the entire turf surface across 48 states was managed homogeneously. However, Golubiewski (2006) found that management level dominates the response of turfgrass production and tissue N concentration, which in turn influences the amount of C and N both stored in and harvested from

1 the turf site. Research to document the effects of different management scenarios on soil  
2 organic C and N changes will aid in a better understanding of the impact of turfgrass on urban  
3 ecosystem carbon budget.

4       Approximately 1.1% of the carbon in the biosphere is in the form of the stable isotope  
5  $^{13}\text{C}$  carbon and 98.9% as the stable isotope  $^{12}\text{C}$ . The photosynthetic pathways of cool and warm  
6 season plants discriminate  $^{13}\text{C}$  differently, thus resulting in different  $^{13}\text{C}$ :  $^{12}\text{C}$  ratios, expressed as  
7  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and having per mil (‰) units. The sign of the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  value indicates whether the sample has  
8 a higher or lower  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  isotope ratio than PDB, a limestone standard from near Pee Dee, South  
9 Carolina (Boutton, 1991). The mean isotopic compositions ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) of warm season and cool  
10 season plant tissues are near -27‰ and -13‰, respectively (Clay et al., 2006; Deines, 1980;  
11 Follett et al., 2004). Therefore the abundance of the natural  $^{13}\text{C}$  or  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  may aid in partitioning  
12 soil organic carbon (SOC) as to its origin. For example, when cool season turfgrass is established  
13 on previous warm-season (such as corn) fields or warm/cool season rotation fields (such as  
14 corn/soybean rotation fields), isotope technique can be effectively used to trace how fast  
15 turfgrass can contribute to the soil organic carbon accumulation. This technique has been  
16 successfully used in agricultural and native grasslands to assess soil carbon sequestration. By  
17 using C isotope methodology, Gregorich et al. (1995) was able to determine that, following 25 yr  
18 of continuously grown corn on a forest soil in eastern Ontario, about 30 percent of the SOC in  
19 the plow layer (0-27 cm) was derived from the corn. Gregorich et al. (1996) also used  $^{13}\text{C}$   
20 abundance methods to account for the higher amount of  $\text{C}_4$  plant-derived C in long-term N  
21 fertilized soils compared to unfertilized soils. Follett et al. (1997) had also earlier used  $^{13}\text{C}$   
22 abundance methods to determine the efficiency of incorporation of small-grain crop residue into  
23 soils with native warm season grass origin in the Great Plains. Follett et al., (2009) recently used

1 <sup>13</sup>C abundance methods to determine that the conversion of a field that had been in 13 yr of  
2 continuous smooth bromegrass to no-till corn production did not result in any net change in SOC  
3 during a 6-yr corn production period in the western Cornbelt, USA. However, there was a  
4 significant change in the relative amount of SOC that remained from the C3 bromegrass and the  
5 amount added by the C4 corn during the 6 yr, and a redistribution of SOC into different soil  
6 aggregate size classes.

7         The main objectives of this study were to: 1) determine amount of SOC derived from  
8 turfgrass after 4 years of turfgrass establishment on a previous corn and soybean (as rotation  
9 crops) field using the carbon isotope technique and 2) determine soil carbon sequestration and  
10 organic carbon decomposition of different turfgrasses.

11  
12  
13  
14

## Materials and Methods

### 1. Experimental Site and Management

The selected research site was located on Arbor Links Golf Course, Nebraska City, Nebraska. The study site was originally a native prairie occupied by a mix of warm and cool season plants (Krings and Kimble, 2008, personal communication). In the 1860s, native plants were cleared to grow wheat, oats, soghum, and corn, as rotation crops. The cropping sequence changed to a corn-soybean rotation in the 1970's. In 2000, the ground in this area was reshaped for golf course development. Based on the weather station record (weather station no. 255810, High Plains Regional Climate Center), the average annual precipitation rate of the study site is approximately 86 cm with an average high temperature ranging from 0 C in January to 31 C in July and average low temperature ranging from -21 C in January to 19 C in July. The soil of the study site is an Aksarben silty clay loam (fine, smectitic, mesic Typic Argiudolls) with average pH of 6.8.

In the fall of 2001, the following turfgrasses were seeded in replicated plots: non-irrigated fine fescues (*Festuca spp.*) [a mixture of hard fescue (*Festuca brevipila* R. Tracey) and sheep fescue (*Festuca ovina* L.)], irrigated fine fescues (a mixture of hard fescue and sheep fescue), Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis* L.) (a blend of Moonlight, Award, and Brilliant), and creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis palustris* Huds.) (Seaside II). Seeding rates were 35, 74, and 196 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for creeping bentgrass, Kentucky bluegrass, and fine fescue, respectively. After seeding, the experimental area was irrigated lightly 3 times daily until 3 wks after seeding. Thereafter, plots were irrigated as needed to prevent drought and encourage establishment for the remaining season of the establishment year.

1           During growing seasons from 2002 to 2005, different management regimes were applied  
2 to reflect 4 management intensities. Briefly, creeping bentgrass plots were managed as fairway  
3 turf - mowed every other day at 1.5 cm and irrigated every other day at about 90-100%  
4 evapotranspiration (ET). Kentucky bluegrass plots were managed as short rough - mowed twice  
5 a week at 3.8 cm and irrigated twice a week at 90-100% ET. Irrigated fine fescue plots were  
6 managed as rough - mowed at 5.1 cm weekly and irrigated twice a week at 70% ET. Rainfed  
7 fine fescue plots were managed as non-irrigated rough mowed at 5.1 cm when necessary. All  
8 plots were fertilized at 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N annually from 2002 to 2005. During mowing events,  
9 clippings were returning to the soil.

## 11 2. Sample Collection, Measurement, and Analysis

12           In November 2001 (two months after seeding), November 2002 (1 year after turf  
13 establishment), and October 2005 (4 years after turfgrass establishment), soil was sampled by  
14 first removing the plant material from the soil surface and then, using a flat-bladed shovel,  
15 undercutting and removing the soil from the 0- to 10-cm, 10- to 20-cm as described by Follett et  
16 al., 2009. Soil bulk densities (33 kPa of moisture tension) were determined on clods from each  
17 soil layer and coated with Saran F-310 for transport and measurement of soil bulk density (Burt,  
18 2004). Three subsamples from each plot at each depth were collected. Samples were analyzed  
19 for total soil organic carbon (SOC), total soil organic nitrogen (SON), and C isotope ratio ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ).  
20 Root density was also determined in 2001 and 2005. In addition, aboveground tissues (shoots)  
21 were collected in 2005 from each plot from 100 cm<sup>2</sup> for determination of plant tissue C isotope  
22 ratio. To determine root density, defined as root mass per unit mass of soil, soil samples were  
23 weighed to determine fresh and dry mass. Roots were washed free of soil using a hydro-

1 pneumatic elutriation system, dried at 75 C for 2 days, and root mass was determined.

2 For determination of SOC and SON, roots (>1 mm in length) were removed by hand before  
3 any analysis. All samples of soil, roots, and shoots were analyzed for total C, total N, and  
4  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  isotope ratio using a Europa Scientific 20–20 Stable Isotope Analyzer (isotope ratio mass  
5 spectrometer) continuous flow interfaced with Europa Scientific ANCA-NT system (automated  
6 nitrogen carbon analyzer) Solid/Liquid Preparation Module (Dumas combustion sample  
7 preparation system) (Sercon Ltd., Europa Scientific, Crewe Cheshire, UK)\*.

8

### 9 3. Carbon Sequestration and Turfgrass Soil Organic Carbon Contribution Calculations

10 The proportion of carbon derived from turfgrass, X%, at 4 year after the establishment of  
11 turf, was calculated by the following equation. The equation is modified from Gregorich et al.  
12 (1996) and Follett et al. (1997):

13

$$14 \quad X\% = \left[ \frac{\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{turf soil 2005}} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{baseline}}}{\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{turf tissue}} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{baseline}}} \right] \times 100 \quad [1]$$

17

18 Where,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{turf soil 2005}}$  was the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of soil samples collected in 2005.  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{baseline}}$  is the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of  
19 soil samples collected in 2001, and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{turf tissue}}$  is the combined  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of roots and shoots.

20 Carbon input from turfgrass during the specific period was calculated as:

$$21 \quad \text{Gross SOC input from turfgrass} = (\text{SOC})_{2005} \times (X\%) \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

22 Changes in soil organic carbon content at establishment and 4 years after establishment  
23 provide carbon sequestration rate 4 years following establishment.

$$24 \quad \text{Net carbon sequestration} = \text{SOC}_{2005} - \text{SOC}_{2001} \dots\dots\dots(3)$$



1 By subtracting net carbon sequestration from gross carbon input we derived soil carbon  
2 decomposition data

## 4 **Results and Discussion**

### 6 1. Vegetation biomass:

8 Aboveground vegetation biomass was only determined in 2005 (Table 1). For irrigated  
9 fine fescue, Kentucky bluegrass, and creeping bentgrass, a layer of thatch exists (thatch is a layer  
10 of aboveground living and decaying plant material that forms between the soil surface and the  
11 green vegetation). Therefore, above ground tissue was separated into thatch and shoots for  
12 biomass determination. Fine fescue, Kentucky bluegrass, and creeping bentgrass allocated  
13 approximately 60-64% of aboveground biomass in a form of thatch. However, thatch is not  
14 apparent for non-irrigated fine fescue plots; all aboveground biomass was grouped as shoots.  
15 Despite the difference of thatch biomass and mowing height, all grasses produced a similar  
16 amount of total aboveground biomass (Table 1).

### 18 2. Root mass:

19 One year after establishment (2002), non-irrigated fine fescue had lower root density than  
20 creeping bentgrass and irrigated fine fescue at 0-10 cm and 10-20 cm, respectively (Table 2).  
21 At 0-10 cm depth, creeping bentgrass exhibited 1.6 times more roots than non-irrigated fine  
22 fescue. At 10-20 cm, irrigated fine fescue exhibited 140% more roots than non-irrigated fine  
23 fescue. Root density of Kentucky bluegrass and creeping bentgrass was not different from  
24 either non-irrigated fine fescue or irrigated fine fescue.

1 Four years after establishment (in 2005), fine fescue (both irrigated and non-irrigated)  
2 had greater root density than Kentucky bluegrass and creeping bentgrass at both 0-10 cm and 10-  
3 20 cm (Table 2). Root production is likely related to mowing height and species genetic  
4 potential. Fescues are generally believed to have more deep and extensive root systems than  
5 Kentucky bluegrass and creeping bentgrass.

### 6 7 3. Carbon isotope 8

9 The mean  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values for irrigated Kentucky bluegrass, fine fescue, and creeping  
10 bentgrass shoots collected in October 2005 were  $-26.8\text{‰}$ ,  $-26.2\text{‰}$ , and  $-27.3\text{‰}$ , respectively  
11 (Table 3). The mean  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of roots were slightly higher than that of shoots (Table 3). Compared  
12 to irrigated fine fescue, rain-fed fine fescue had a less negative  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ , with mean  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of -  
13  $25.2\text{‰}$  and  $-24.5\text{‰}$  for its shoots and roots, respectively (Table 3). This difference reflected the  
14 greater stomatal resistance caused by lower water availability in the rain-fed fine fescue.

15 We collected soil baseline samples in November 2001. The baseline SOC  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  was  
16 approximately  $-18.0\text{‰}$ , reflecting the historical vegetation, i.e., a mix of  $\text{C}_3$  and  $\text{C}_4$  vegetation  
17 for the land use history. The large distinguishable differences in isotope signatures of current  
18 turfgrasses vs. SOC isotope baseline suggest our experimental approach was feasible.

19 Data on carbon isotope composition, soil organic carbon, and soil organic nitrogen, and  
20 soil organic C/N ratio for soil samples collected in 2002 and 2005 are presented in Table 4.  
21 During the first year after the establishment of turf (from 2001 to 2002), soil organic carbon  
22 increased and soil organic nitrogen stayed at similar levels, which resulted in an increased C/N  
23 ratio of soil organic matter. The increased C:N ratio of soil organic matter indicated that the  
24 newly established turf systems favor N immobilization, justifying a higher N fertilization need  
25 when compared with long-established and matured turfgrass systems. From 2002 to 2005, there

1 was a continued increase in soil organic carbon for non-irrigated fine fescue, Kentucky bluegrass  
2 and creeping bentgrass at 0-10 cm. At 10-20 cm, the change of soil organic carbon was small in  
3 magnitude. From 2002 to 2005, non-irrigated fine fescue and creeping bentgrass exhibited  
4 increases in C:N ratio at 0-10 cm, whereas the soil C:N ratio of irrigated fine fescue and  
5 Kentucky bluegrass showed no change. At 10-20 cm, C/N ratio was slightly reduced from 2002  
6 to 2005. These data suggested that 2-5-year-old turf systems favor C sequestration at the surface  
7 depth (0-10 cm).

8  
9 Organic carbon input from turf, C sequestration, and C decomposition

10  
11 Using equation [1], the percent of soil organic carbon derived from individual turfgrasses  
12 in 2005 was calculated for both 0-10 and 10-20 cm depth (Table 5). These data show that four  
13 years after turfgrass establishment on a previous corn-soybean field in north central USA, about  
14 17-24% of SOC was derived from turfgrass at 0-10 cm. At 10-20 cm depth, we found striking  
15 difference among turfgrass species. For shallow rooted Kentucky bluegrass and creeping  
16 bentgrass, only about 1% and 4% of SOC was derived from turfgrass at 10-20 cm, whereas for  
17 the deep rooted fine fescue about 10-13% was derived from turfgrass. Fisher et al. (1994) found  
18 that deep-rooted grasses introduced into South American savannas for agricultural purposes  
19 sequestered significant amounts of organic carbon deep in the soil profile. The authors  
20 suggested that a substantial amount of carbon, globally, could be locked up in such a manner.  
21 When we combined data from the 2 depths, about 10-18 percent of the soil organic carbon (0-20  
22 cm) was derived from turfgrass.

23 Using bulk density data collected in 2001, which suggested that all plots had similar bulk  
24 density (Table 4), gross soil organic carbon input by turfgrass in  $\text{tons ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  was calculated  
25 and presented (Table 5 and 6). During the initial 4 years after establishment, irrigated-fine

1 fescue added a gross of 3.35 ton C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> to the 0-20 cm soil profile, which is about 141%  
2 higher than the SOC input from non-irrigated fine fescue, and 55% higher than irrigated-  
3 Kentucky bluegrass and creeping bentgrass.

4 Soil organic carbon content differences between 2005 and 2001 indicated that soil  
5 organic carbon at 0-20 cm soil profile increased 0.75, 1.10, 0.45, 1.14 g per kg soil for non-  
6 irrigated fescue, irrigated fescue, Kentucky bluegrass, and creeping bentgrass, respectively.  
7 Based on the bulk density data collected in 2001, these soil organic carbon changes translate to  
8 carbon sequestration rates of 0.52, 0.74, 0.32, and 0.78 ton C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for non-irrigated fine  
9 fescue, irrigated-fine fescue, Kentucky bluegrass, and creeping bentgrass, respectively. All  
10 turfgrasses exhibited significant carbon sequestration. However, the net carbon sequestration  
11 rate in the 0-20 cm soil profile is higher in irrigated-fine fescue and creeping bentgrass plots.  
12 Kentucky bluegrass had the lowest carbon sequestration rate among the tested species. The  
13 carbon sequestration rate for non-irrigated fine fescue was intermediate but was not statistically  
14 different from Kentucky bluegrass or irrigated-fine fescue. Carbon sequestration potential is not  
15 only related to productivity of roots, rhizomes, and shoots, but also related to soil organic carbon  
16 decomposition or turnover rates. This range of carbon sequestration is in agreement with the  
17 following reported studies. Bruce et al. (1999) estimated a gain of 0.6 ton C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for  
18 previously cultivated lands that have been resceded to grass. Post and Kwon (2000) compiled  
19 literature data for soil carbon in areas where grasslands have been allowed to develop on  
20 previously disturbed lands and reported that the average rates of accumulation of C during the  
21 early grassland establishment were 0.33 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. Qian and Follett (2002) reported a carbon  
22 sequestration rate of 0.9-1.0 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for highly managed turfgrass systems in Colorado and  
23 Wyoming. The management regime of Qian and Follett (2002) was similar as the management

1 regimes of Kentucky bluegrass and creeping bentgrass in this study. The lower carbon  
2 sequestration ability of Kentucky bluegrass found in this study than that reported by Qian and  
3 Follett (2002) may have been attributed to the warmer climate, less day/night temperature  
4 difference, and higher annual precipitation encountered in this study site. Bandaranayake et al.  
5 (2003) and Wang et al. (2000) suggested that higher temperature accelerates decomposition of  
6 SOC only when soil moisture is adequate, and inhibits decomposition when soil moisture  
7 becomes limited.

8 By subtracting net carbon sequestration from gross carbon input we derived soil carbon  
9 decomposition data (Table 6). The soil organic carbon decomposition rates were 1.73 and 1.50  
10  $\text{ton ha}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$  for Kentucky bluegrass and creeping bentgrass, respectively, which were higher than  
11 under non-irrigated fine fescue and lower than irrigated fine fescue. By comparing irrigated and  
12 non-irrigated fine fescue plots, we found that irrigation increased net organic carbon input to the  
13 0-20 cm soil profile by 141%. At the same time irrigation also increased soil organic carbon  
14 decomposition by 2-fold.

## 16 **Conclusions**

17 Urban grassland covers over 16 million ha in the U.S., and it is ubiquitous in the  
18 American urban landscape. Milesi et al. (2005) estimated that among the total land in USA  
19 devoted to urban development, 39-54% is covered by turfgrass. Despite the large acreage of  
20 turf, the role of turf in balancing the nation's C budget has largely been unexplored. Previously,  
21 we have reported that carbon sequestration ability is intricately linked to the cycling of soil  
22 nutrients including nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium, and other micronutrients (Qian and  
23 Follett, 2002) and clipping management (Qian et al., 2003). Different carbon sequestration rates

1 were observed under different treatments. Carbon sequestration rates were 0.74, and 0.78 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>  
2 yr<sup>-1</sup> for irrigated-fine fescue and creeping bentgrass, respectively, which are higher than that of  
3 non-irrigated fine fescue and irrigated Kentucky bluegrass. In this experiment, we observed that  
4 irrigation increased both gross SOC input to the soil profile and SOC decomposition in fine  
5 fescue. Thus, soil organic C accumulation rate is associated with both turfgrass rooting depth  
6 and irrigation availability.

7 In summary, our experiment demonstrates that urban turfgrass systems provide a  
8 significant sink for SOC sequestration. Measuring of carbon isotopic composition appears to be  
9 an appropriate approach to study SOC dynamics. Soil carbon sequestration and organic carbon  
10 decomposition rates are different for different turfgrasses and different management regimes.

11 However, to consider the net impact of urban grassland on the atmosphere's greenhouse  
12 effect, we need to consider fuel expenses in maintaining turfgrass, fertilizer and pesticide uses,  
13 energy for pumping water to irrigate, and the fluxes of other greenhouse gases (mainly N<sub>2</sub>O and  
14 CH<sub>4</sub>) in addition to soil C sequestration. Additional work is needed to evaluate the total carbon  
15 budget and fluxes of the other greenhouse gases in turfgrass systems.

16

17

18

19

1 ***Literature Cited:***

2

3 Bandaranayake, W., Y.L. Qian, W.J. Parton, D. S. Ojima, and R.F. Follett. 2003. Estimation  
4 of soil carbon sequestration in turfgrass systems using the CENTURY model. *Agron. J.*  
5 95:558-563.

6

7 Boutton, T.W. 1991. Stable carbon isotope ratios of natural materials: I. Sample preparation and  
8 Mass spectrometric analysis. p. 155–171. In D.C. Coleman and B. Fry (ed.) *Carbon isotope*  
9 *techniques*. Academic Press, New York.

10

11 Bruce, J.P., M. Frome, H. Haites, H. Janzen, R. Lal, and K. Paustian. 1999. Carbon  
12 sequestration in soils. *J. Soil and Water Conservation*. 54:382-389.

13

14 Burt, R. (ed.) 2004. *Soil Survey Laboratory Methods Manual*. Soil Survey Investigations Rep.  
15 No. 42, Version 4.0. NRCS, Washington, DC.

16

17 Clay, D.E., C.G. Carlson, S.A. Clay, C. Reese, Z. Liu, J. Chang, and M.M. Ellsbury. 2006.  
18 Theoretical derivation of stable and nonisotopic approaches for assessing soil organic carbon  
19 turnover. *Agron. J.* 98:443–450.

20

21 Deines, P. 1980. The isotopic composition of reduced organic carbon. p. 329–406. In P. Fritz and  
22 J.C. Fontes (ed.) *Handbook of environmental isotope geochemistry*. Vol. 1. The Terrestrial  
23 Environment. Part A. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

24

- 1 Fisher, M.J., I.M. Rao, M.A. Ayarza, C.E. Lascano, J.I. Sanz, R.J. Thomas, and R.R. Vera.  
2 1994. Carbon storage by introduced deep-rooted grasses in the South American savannas.  
3 Nature 371:236-238.  
4
- 5 Follett, R.F., J. Kimble, S.W. Leavitt, and E. Pruessner. 2004. Potential use of C isotope analyses  
6 to evaluate paleoclimate. Soil Sci. 169:471–488.  
7
- 8 Follett, R.F., E.A. Paul, S.W. Leavitt, A.D. Halvorson, D. Lyon, and G.A. Peterson. 1997.  
9 Carbon isotope ratios of Great Plains soils in wheat-fallow systems. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.  
10 61:1068-1077.  
11
- 12 Follett, R.F., S.E. Samson-Liebig, J.M. Kimble, E.G. Pruessner, and S.W. Waltman. 2001.  
13 Carbon sequestration under the Conservation Reserve Program in the historic grassland soils of  
14 the United States of America. p. 27–40. In R. Lal (ed.) Soil Carbon Sequestration and the  
15 Greenhouse Effect. SSSA Spec. Publ. 57. SSSA, Madison, WI. 236 p.  
16
- 17 Follett, R.F., G.A. Varvel, J.M. Kimble, and K.P. Vogel. 2009. No-till corn after bromegrass:  
18 Effect on Soil C and Soil Aggregates. Agron. J. 101:261–268  
19
- 20 Golubiewski N.E. 2006. Urbanization increases grassland carbon pools: effects of landscaping  
21 in Colorado’s Front Range. Ecological Applications 16:555-571.  
22
- 23 Gregorich, E.G., B.H. Ellert, C.F. Drury, and B.C. Liang. 1996. Fertilization effects on soil



1 organic matter turnover and corn residue C storage. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 60:472-476.

2

3 Gregorich, E.G., B.H. Ellert, and C.M. Monreal. 1995. Turnover of soil organic matter and  
4 storage of corn residue carbon estimated from natural <sup>13</sup>C abundance. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 75:161-  
5 167.

6

7 Lal, R., and R.F. Follett (editors). 2008. *Soil Carbon Sequestration and the Greenhouse Effect.*  
8 *Special Publication 57 (2<sup>nd</sup> ed).* Soil Science Society of America. Madison, WI. 410p.

9

10 Milesi, C., S.W. Running, C.D. Elvidge, J.B. Dietz, B.T. Tuttle, and R.R. Nemani. 2005.  
11 *Mapping and modeling the biogeochemical cycling of turf grasses in the United States.*  
12 *Environmental Management.* 36:426-438.

13

14 Post, W.M. and K.C. Kwon. 2000. Soil carbon sequestration and land-use change: processes  
15 and potential. *Global. Change Biology.* 6:317-327.

16

17 Qian, Y.L. and R.F. Follett. 2002. Assessing soil carbon sequestration in turfgrass systems  
18 using long-term soil testing data. *Agron. J.* 94:930-935.

19

20 Qian, Y.L., W. Bandaranayake, W.J. Parton, B. Mecham, A.M. Harivandi, and A.R. Mosier.  
21 2003. Long-term effects of clipping and nitrogen management in turfgrass on soil carbon and  
22 nitrogen dynamics: the CENTURY model simulation. *J. Environ. Qual.* 32:1694-1700.

23

- 1 Wang, Y., Amundson, R., and Niu, X. 2000. Seasonal and altitudinal variation in
- 2 decomposition of soil organic matter inferred from radiocarbon measurements of soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux.
- 3 Global Biogeochemical Cycles. 14:199-211.

Table 1. Aboveground vegetation biomass of different grasses grown in the field under different management regimes.

Grass	Mowing Height	2005 vegetation biomass		
		Shoots	Thatch	Total aboveground biomass
Fine fescues (non-irrigated)	7.6 cm	34.5a <sup>†</sup>	N/A <sup>ns</sup>	34.5 <sup>ns</sup>
Fine fescue (irrigated)	5.1 cm	11.7b	18.3	30.1
Kentucky bluegrass	2.5 cm	12.5b	22.4	34.9
Creeping bentgrass	1.2 cm	13.1b	19.3	32.4

<sup>†</sup> Means with a common letter are not significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) by Least Significant Difference.

<sup>ns</sup> Not significant

Table 2. Root density of different grasses grown in the field under different management regimes.

Grass	Mowing Height	2002		2005	
		Root density (g/kg dry soil)		Root density (g/kg dry soil)	
		0-10 cm	10-20 cm	0-10 cm	10-20 cm
Fine fescues (non-irrigated)	5.1 cm	3.4 b <sup>†</sup>	0.38b	36.3a	10.4a
Fine fescue (irrigated)	5.1 cm	6.67ab	1.25a	33.9a	10.2a
Kentucky bluegrass	2.5 cm	5.69ab	0.70ab	16.5b	6.1ab
Creeping bentgrass	1.2 cm	8.42a	0.88ab	13.5b	2.9b

<sup>†</sup> Means with a common letter are not significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) by Least Significant Difference.

Table 3. Plant tissue carbon isotope composition 4 years after establishment.

Grass	C isotope ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ )	
	Root	Shoot
	----- (‰) -----	
Fine fescue (non-irrigated)	-24.5 a <sup>†</sup>	-25.2 a
Fine fescue (irrigated)	-25.5 b	-26.2 b
Kentucky bluegrass	-25.7 b	-26.8 b
Creeping bentgrass	-26.27 c	-27.3 c

<sup>†</sup> Means with a common letter are not significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) by Least Significant Difference.

Table 4. Plant tissue carbon isotope composition ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ), soil organic carbon (SOC), soil organic nitrogen (SON), soil carbon to nitrogen ratio (C/N) at the establishment of different grasses and 1 and 4 years after turf establishment.

Grass	2001 baselines					2002 data					2005 data			
	Shoot (‰)	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	SOC (g/kg)	SON (g/kg)	C/N	bulk density	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	SOC (g/kg)	SON (g/kg)	C/N	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	SOC (g/kg)	SON (g/kg)	C/N
<b>0-10 cm</b>														
Non irrigated fine fescue	--27.91a	-17.54 <sup>ns</sup>	13.0ab	1.25b	10.5 <sup>ns</sup>	1.30 <sup>ns</sup>	-18.55	14.2ab	1.38ab	10.2 ab	-18.84a	14.9 <sup>ns</sup>	1.34 <sup>ns</sup>	10.98 <sup>ns</sup>
Fine fescue (irrigated)	-27.99a	-18.05	15.5a	1.57a	9.9	1.25	-18.52	15.9a	1.47a	10.8a	-19.9 ab	15.7	1.44	10.86
Kentucky bluegrass	-29.08b	-18.14	14.3ab	1.37ab	10.3	1.35	-18.89	14.8ab	1.38ab	10.8a	-19.61ab	15.7	1.35	10.9
Creeping bentgrass	-28.66ab	-18.35	12.3b	1.27b	9.2	1.32	-19.17	12.4b	1.20b	10.0b	-20.07b	14.3	1.34	10.32
<b>10-20 cm</b>														
Non irrigated fine fescue	-27.91a	-18.20 <sup>ns</sup>	7.6 <sup>ns</sup>	0.94 <sup>ns</sup>	8.1 <sup>ns</sup>	1.44 <sup>ns</sup>	-18.79 <sup>ns</sup>	7.7 <sup>ns</sup>	0.87 <sup>ns</sup>	8.6 <sup>ns</sup>	-18.85ab	7.2 <sup>ns</sup>	0.85 <sup>ns</sup>	7.87 <sup>ns</sup>
Fine fescue (irrigated)	-27.99a	-18.42	8.0	1.15	6.6	1.42	-18.93	11.5	1.10	9.9	-19.38b	10.0	1.03	8.98
Kentucky bluegrass	-29.08b	-17.61	11.8	1.27	9.0	1.44	-18.60	11.0	1.07	10.1	-17.68a	11.3	1.14	9.72
Creeping bentgrass	-28.66ab	-17.77	9.8	1.17	7.7	1.42	-18.84	10.0	1.02	8.8	-18.14ab	10.08	1.05	8.74

<sup>†</sup> Means with a common letter are not significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) by Least Significant Difference.

<sup>ns</sup> Not significant

Table 5: Percentage of soil organic carbon from turf, carbon input from turfgrass at 0-10 and 10-20 cm in the soil profile under different turfgrasses during 2001-2005.

Grass	% SOC from turf	Total SOC (g/kg)	SOC from turf (g/kg)	in 2005				
				0-10 cm		10-20 cm		0-20 cm
Fine fescue (non-irrigated)	17.8 <sup>ns</sup>	14.9 <sup>ns</sup>	2.65 <sup>ns</sup>	9.78a	7.2 <sup>ns</sup>	0.70 <sup>ns</sup>	13.8	2.03b
Fine fescue	23.7	15.7	3.72	12.92a	10.0	1.29	18.3	5.02a
Kentucky bluegrass	18.2	15.7	2.85	0.81b	11.3	0.09	9.5	2.94ab
Creeping bentgrass	20.4	14.3	2.92	4.10b	10.1	0.41	12.2	3.33ab

\* Means with a common letter are not significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) by Least Significant Difference.

<sup>ns</sup> Not significant

**Table 6. Gross soil organic carbon input, net soil carbon sequestration, and soil organic carbon decomposition in the 0-20 cm soil profile under different turfgrasses.**

Grasses	Gross SOC input from turf ton/ha/year	Net carbon sequestration ton/ha/year	SOC decomposition ton/ha/year
Fine fescue (non-irrigated)	1.39b	0.52ab	0.87c
Fine fescue (irrigated)	3.35a	0.74a	2.61a
Kentucky bluegrass	2.05ab	0.32b	1.73b
Creeping bentgrass	2.28ab	0.78a	1.50b